APPEAL NO. 042763 FILED DECEMBER 9, 2004

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing (CCH) was held on August 24, 2004. The hearing officer determined that the (appellant) claimant did not sustain a compensable injury and that he did not have disability. The claimant appealed the hearing officer's determinations. The respondent (self-insured) responded, asserting that the claimant's appeal is untimely, and otherwise urges affirmance.

DECISION

The hearing officer's decision has become final pursuant to Section 410.169 because the claimant's appeal was not timely filed with the Texas Workers' Compensation Commission (Commission).

Section 410.202(a) provides that to appeal the decision of a hearing officer, a party shall file a written request for appeal with the Appeals Panel not later than the 15th day after the date on which the decision of the hearing officer is received from the division and shall on the same date serve a copy of the request for appeal on the other party. Section 410.202 was amended effective June 17, 2001, to exclude Saturdays and Sundays and holidays listed in Section 662.003 of the Texas Government Code from the computation of time in which to file an appeal or a response. 410.202(d). Tex. W.C. Comm'n, 28 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 143.3(e) (Rule 143.3(e)) (formerly Rule 143.3(c)) provides that a request for review shall be presumed to be timely filed if it is: (1) mailed on or before the 15th day after the date of receipt of the hearing officer's decision; and (2) received by the Commission not later than the 20th day after the date of receipt of the hearing officer's decision. Both portions of Rule 143.3(e) must be complied with for an appeal to be timely. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Appeal No. 020172, decided March 12, 2002. 102.5(d) provides in pertinent part that, for purposes of determining the date of receipt for those written communications sent by the Commission which require the recipient to perform an action by a specific date after receipt, unless the great weight of the evidence indicates otherwise, the Commission shall deem the received date to be five days after the date mailed.

Records of the Commission reflect that the hearing officer's decision was mailed to the claimant on September 7, 2004. The cover letter to the hearing officer's decision contains the same address for the claimant as is shown on the CCH sign in sheet. The claimant in his appeal acknowledged that he received the hearing officer's decision on September 10, 2004. Pursuant to Rule 102.5(d), the claimant is deemed to have received the hearing officer's decision on September 12, 2004, unless the great weight of the evidence indicates otherwise. Whether using the acknowledged date of receipt of

September 10, 2004, or the deemed date of receipt of September 12, 2004, the claimant's appeal is untimely.

The claimant, in his appeal, appears to assert good cause for the untimely filing based on a misunderstanding with his ombudsman and some communication problems. The problems asserted by the claimant do not constitute the great weight of the evidence to justify a late filing of the appeal. The 15th day after the claimant's deemed date of receipt, excluding Saturdays and Sundays and holidays listed in Section 662.003 of the Texas Government Code, was October 5, 2004. The envelope in which the claimant mailed his appeal to the Commission contains a postage paid sticker dated November 1, 2004. Since the claimant's request for appeal was mailed to the Commission after October 5, 2004, it was not timely filed with the Commission. Section 410.169 provides in pertinent part that a decision of a hearing officer regarding benefits is final in the absence of a timely appeal by a party.

The appeal being untimely, the jurisdiction of the Appeals Panel was not properly invoked, and the decision and order of the hearing officer have become final under Section 410.169.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is (a certified self-insured) and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

CT CORPORATION SYSTEM 350 NORTH ST. PAUL STREET DALLAS, TEXAS 75201.

CONCUR:	Thomas A. Knapp Appeals Judge
Robert W. Potts Appeals Judge	
Margaret L. Turner Appeals Judge	